

## REMARKS

Claims 1-11, 13 and 15-25 are currently active.

Claims 12 and 14 have been canceled.

Claims 18-25 have been added. Antecedent support for Claim 18 is found in Claims 1, 6 and 7. Antecedent support for Claim 19 is found in Claim 10 and Claim 14. Antecedent support for Claim 20 is found in Claim 1 and Claim 8. Antecedent support for Claims 21-25 are found in Claims 2-6.

Antecedent support for the amendment to Claim 1 is found in Claims 2-5.

Antecedent support for the amendment to Claim 10 is found in Claims 11-13.

The Examiner has indicated that Claims 5-9 and 13-17 are allowable if rewritten in independent form with all the limitations of their base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 1 and 10, as amended, are Claims 5 and 13 written as such.

In regard to Claims 18 and 19, there is no teaching or suggestion in the applied art of record that the main profile has opposing center bosses to which the snaps snap into place with the main profile. Accordingly, Claims 18 and 19 are patentable over the applied art of record.

In regard to Claim 20, the applied art of record does not teach a terminal block female part connected to and disposed in the main profile and connected to the transformer or the limitation of the cassette having a terminal block male part in contact with the terminal block female part. In regard to the reference Kelsall, it teaches the cord with a plug that the Examiner suggests is a terminal block male part and a socket as a terminal block female part. It is well recognized in patent law that terms used in the claim are provided their common definition, unless a specific definition is provided for them in the specification. There is no specific definition provided for these limitations in applicant's specification. It is well recognized the terminal block in the art is exactly that, a block, not a cord or a wire with a plug.


Furthermore, there is no teaching of the limitation of a transformer in Kelsall. The Examiner relies on Rippel for this teaching. But in regard to the aforementioned limitations, the reference Rippel cannot be combined with the reference Kelsall because Kelsall teaches a cord with a plug and a bracket in-between and no transformer whatsoever that could

somehow or other be connected to the cord, let alone a block. Accordingly, Claim 20 is patentable over the applied art of record.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully requested that the outstanding rejections and objections to this application be reconsidered and withdrawn, and Claims 1-11, 13 and 15-25, now in this application be allowed.


**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that the correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

on 11/29/04  
  
Ansel M. Schwartz  
Registration No. 30,587  
11/29/04  
Date

Respectfully submitted,

IRWIN KOTOVSKY, ET AL.

By   
Ansel M. Schwartz, Esquire  
Reg. No. 30,587  
One Sterling Plaza  
201 N. Craig Street  
Suite 304  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213  
(412) 621-9222

Attorney for Applicants